



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/838,010	04/19/2001	Alexander Kobilansky	US010211	3720
24737 75	90 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	ELLECTUAL PROPER	COUSO, YON JUNG		
P.O. BOX 3001				
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/838,010	KOBILANSKY, ALEXANDER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yon Couso	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rown.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on £</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  owance except for formal matt	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application Papers  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
•		
Attachmant(c)		
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/838,010 <

Art Unit: 2625

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 2

a. With regard to "machine-effected method", the use of the word "effected" does not tie the physical element of machine with the method. The examiner would like to suggest, "A computer- implemented process", instead of 'machine-effected method'.

 b. The applicant argues that the Zhang teaches away from the interactive session, therefore there is no motivation to combine Zhang with Sycara. The examiner disagrees. The examiner already has noted that the Zhang does not teach details on repairing the image by an interactive session. However, Zhang discloses that the in the last decade, audio-visual services have grown beyond their traditional application in broadcast television to include a large range of interactive services (page 5, line 35page 6, line 1). Sycara discloses repairing the defect by an interactive session, responsive to a user's request (column 2, lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate user interactive session taught in Sycara' teaching into Zheng's method of repairing the image because Zheng already discloses automatic detection and correction of defects. Moreover, Zheng acknowledges that there are more and more interactive applications in the visual services. Mere incorporation of user interaction into the system which already teaches not only automatic detection and correction of defects, but also interactive application, is not deemed to be patentably significant because the system in Zheng already has the capability to perform the user interaction and the motivation to do so.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,010 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

c. The applicant argues that Sycara, while suggest user interaction, it is directed to correcting error in schedule. The applicant further argues that Sycara does not disclose or suggest repairing the defect by an interactive session, responsive to a user's request. The examiner disagrees. Zheng discloses automatic detection and correction of defects. Sycara discloses repairing the defect by an interactive session, responsive to a user's request (column 2, lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate user interactive session taught in Sycara' teaching into Zheng's method of repairing the image because Zheng already discloses automatic detection and correction of defects. Incorporation of Sycara's reference is to show repairing error by user interaction, whereas Zhang teaches repairing the image (page 7, lines 8-32).

## 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to the method of detecting a defect in an image, which in fact can be performed by a person examining an image and correcting the image.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,010

Art Unit: 2625

Descriptive material that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way in which computing processes are performed does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Thus, Office personnel should consider the claimed invention as a whole to determine whether the necessary functional interrelationship is provided.

Where certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, are merely stored so as to be read or outputted by a computer without creating any functional interrelationship, either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer, then such descriptive material alone does not impart functionality either to the data as so structured, or to the computer. Such "descriptive material" is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. (Data consists of facts, which become information when they are seen in context and convey meaning to people. Computers process data without any understanding of what that data represents. Computer Dictionary 210 (Microsoft Press, 2d ed. 1994).)

The amendment made to the claims "machine-effected method" does not exhibit an functional relationship with the way in which computing process are performed does not constitute statutory process.

The examiner would like to suggest, "A computer- implemented process", instead of 'machine-effected method'.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al (WO 99/14950) in view of Sycara et al (Patent No. 5,574,640).

Art Unit: 2625

The arguments advanced in paragraph 1 above as to the applicability of the references are incorporated herein.

As per claims 1, 11, and 18, Zhang teaches a method and a system and an article of manufacture for detecting a defect in an image, comprising the steps of: detecting a defect in the image (page 6, line 34-page 7, line 2); generating non-image data indicating properties of the image (page 7, lines 3-7); and providing the non-image data that repairs the image (page 7, lines 8-32). Zhang does not teach details on repairing the image by an interactive session. However, Sycara discloses repairing the defect by an interactive session, responsive to a user's request (column 2, lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate user interactive session taught in Sycara' teaching into Zheng's method of repairing the image because Zheng already discloses automatic detection and correction of defects. Mere incorporation of user interaction into the system which already teaches automatic detection and correction of defects is not deemed to be patentably significant because the system in Zheng already performs what is intended for a user.

As per claims 2, 12 and 13, Sycara teaches that the non-image data is employed to repair the defect in the interactive session (column 4, lines 1-65).

As per claims 3, 7, and 14, Zheng teaches that the non-image data includes motion estimation information for a sequence of images (page 7, lines 3-25).

As per claim 4, 8, and 15, Zheng teaches that the non-image data includes image granularity information (column 11, lines 19-61).

As per claims 5, 9, and 16, Zheng teaches that the non-image data includes an indication of a location and size of the defect (page 7, lines 26-32).

As per claims 6, 17, and 19, Zheng teaches a method and a system and an article of manufacture of repairing a defect in an image, comprising the steps of: receiving the defect (page 6, line 34-page 7, line 2); evaluating non-image data associated with the image indicating properties of the image that may be utilized to repair the defect (page 7, lines 3-7); and repairing the defect using indicated properties of the image (page 7, lines 8-32). Zheng does not teach details on a user identification of a defect in an image. Sycara discloses repairing the defect by an interactive session, responsive to a user's request (column 2, lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate user interactive session taught in Sycara' teaching into Zheng's method of repairing the image because Zheng already discloses automatic detection and correction of defects. Mere incorporation of user interaction into the system which already teaches automatic detection and correction of defects is not deemed to be patentably significant because the system in Zheng already performs what is intended for a user.

As per claim 10, Zheng teaches the step of analyzing the non-image data to determine an appropriate method for repairing the defect (page 7, line 33—page 8, line 2).

As per claim 20, Sycara discloses complementary to the providing step, the step of providing, to repair the defect and to the interactive session, a version of the automatically repaired without user intervention (column 5, line 36-column 6, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,010

Art Unit: 2625

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yon Couso whose telephone number is (571) 272-7448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/838,010

Art Unit: 2625

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YJC

December 8, 2005

VON J. COUST

Page 8

PHIMARY EXAMINER